

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Add St. COMMISSIGNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Blu 1450 Alexandra. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,013	01/18/2002	David Kammer	035451-0170 (3708.Palm)	2103
26371 FOLEY & LA	7590 01/04/2007 RDNER LLP		EXAMINER	
777 EAST WIS	SCONSIN AVENUE		ABEDIN, SHANTO	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2136	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/053,013	KAMMER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shanto M Z Abedin	2136		

	Shanto M Z Abedin	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	The state of the s	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amondme	ont cancaling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii subiliitted iii a şeparate,	intery med amending	ant canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25 and 27-53. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidate of the affidate of the state of	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.  Other:	·						
	,						
	•						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the rejections of claims 1-25 and 27-51, the applicant primarily argues that the the references Stewart et al or Bade et al or Zillikens et al individually or in combination does not teach or suggests:

selecting a single level of security from a group of more than two security levels. wherein the group of more than two security levels is defined by a user of the network user node.

However, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's above argument(s).

Stewart et al does teach:

selecting a single level of security from a group of more than two security levels (Fig 5, element : identification information comprising plurality of access levels associated with the plurality of destination location information; Col 8, lines 26-42; provide services to the user based on geographic location information; Col 19, lines 60-67; Col 20, lines 1-10; access level is determined based on geographic location)....wherein the group of more than two security levels is defined by a user of the network user node (Col 20, lines 25-59; first, second access levels; the identification information, wherein the access level is stored in a memory comprised in a portable computing device; Col 3, lines 15-28; Col 8, lines 44-50; Col 10, line 65 to Col 11, lines 3; the access information may be provided by the PCD of the user; PCD with memory to support/ manage the access features; using PCD instead of access point/ MIB) .

> NASSER MOAZZAMI. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

> > 12,26,06